FEMI 209 : 060218

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

ORIGINAL

COMPLETED
48

CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA
ORANGE COUNTY

MAY 17, 1982

Federal Emergency Management Agency

COMMUNITY NUMBER 060218

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Purpose of Study	1
1.2	Coordination	1
1.3	Authority and Acknowledgments	1
2.0	AREA STUDIED	2
2.1	Scope of Study	2
2.2	Community Description	2
2.3	Principal Flood Problems	2
2.4	Flood Protection Measures	4
3.0	ENGINEERING METHODS	4
3.1	Hydrologic Analyses	5
3.2	Hydraulic Analyses	5
4.0	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS	11
4.1	Flood Boundaries	11
4.2	Floodways	11
5.0	INSURANCE APPLICATION	12
5.1	Reach Determinations	12
5.2	Flood Hazard Factors	12
5.3	Flood Insurance Zones	13
5.4	Flood Insurance Rate Map Description	13
6.0	OTHER STUDIES	15
7.0	LOCATION OF DATA	15
8.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	15

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page

FIGURES

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map..... 3

TABLES

Table 1 - Summary of Discharges..... 6
Table 2 - Santa Ana River Overflow..... 9
Table 3 - Flood Insurance Zone Data..... 14

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Flood Profiles

Santa Ana River Panels 01P-12P

Exhibit 2 - Flood Insurance Rate Map

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Study

The purpose of this Flood Insurance Study is to investigate the existence and severity of flood hazards in the City of Fountain Valley, Orange County, California, and to aid in the administration of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. Initial use of this information will be to convert Fountain Valley to the regular program of flood insurance by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Further use of the information will be made by local and regional planners in their efforts to promote sound land use and flood plain development.

1.2 Coordination

A meeting was held on November 26, 1979, to review the hydraulic overflow delineations for Santa Ana River. Representatives of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the study contractor, the Orange County Environmental Management Agency, and the California Department of Water Resources were in attendance.

An intermediate coordination meeting was held on February 1, 1980. Representatives of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the study contractor, the California Department of Water Resources, and the Cities of Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley, Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Santa Ana, and Westminster were in attendance. No technical changes were made at that time.

The results of this study were reviewed at a final community coordination meeting held on September 16, 1980. Attending the meeting were representatives of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the study contractor, the City of Fountain Valley, and the surrounding communities. This study incorporates all appropriate comments, and all problems have been resolved.

1.3 Authority and Acknowledgments

The source of authority for this Flood Insurance Study is the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended.

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for this study were performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under Inter-Agency Agreement No. IAA-H-2-73, Project Order No. 4. This work, which was completed in April 1980, covered all significant flooding sources affecting the City of Fountain Valley.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

2.0 AREA STUDIED

2.1 Scope of Study

This Flood Insurance Study covers the incorporated areas of the City of Fountain Valley, Orange County, California. The area of study is shown on the Vicinity Map (Figure 1).

Floods of the 100-year recurrence interval for Santa Ana River were studied in detail from the Pacific Ocean to Imperial Highway.

Those areas studied by detailed methods were chosen with consideration given to all proposed construction and forecasted development through 1985.

2.2 Community Description

Fountain Valley is in southern Orange County in southwestern California. The city is approximately 30 miles southeast of Los Angeles, and 4 miles northeast of the Pacific Ocean. Fountain Valley is bordered by the Cities of Westminster and Garden Grove to the north, the City of Santa Ana to the northeast, the City of Huntington Beach to the west and south, and the unincorporated areas of Orange County and the City of Costa Mesa to the southeast. Santa Ana River flows southwesterly outside the eastern corporate limits of the city.

Fountain Valley consists of a gently sloping apron, with elevations ranging from approximately 13 feet to approximately 60 feet.

Residential development began in 1962. Since then, approximately 2500 acres have been zoned and/or developed. Substantial industrial growth has occurred in Fountain Valley, and the planned industrial district comprises approximately 10 percent of the total city land area (Reference 1).

The climate has mild winters and cool, dry summers. The average annual temperature range is from 53.2°F to 70.6°F. The average annual rainfall is 11.8 inches (Reference 1).

2.3 Principal Flood Problems

The principal flood problem for this study is lower Santa Ana River. The existing channel capacity is not sufficient to safely convey the 100-year flood. Excess flows will breach the channel at several locations and will cause widespread flooding in the Cities of Garden Grove, Santa Ana, Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley, Westminster, and Huntington Beach, and in adjacent unincorporated areas.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FIGURE 1

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

APPROXIMATE SCALE

21,120 0 21,120 42,240 63,360 FEET

VICINITY MAP

The largest recorded flood for Santa Ana River occurred in March 1938. The peak flow reached approximately 100,000 cubic feet per second (cfs), which was approximately a 140-year frequency flood, and caused damages of approximately $4 million (1938 price level) in Orange County. The next major flood on Santa Ana River occurred in February 1969 and caused approximately $13 million in damage in Orange County. This was a 40-year frequency flood that would have caused more damage if Prado Dam had not been constructed in 1941. Damage from the 1969 flood was greater than from the 1938 flood mainly due to increased development and inflation.

A minor flood occurred on Santa Ana River in February 1980, and caused considerable damage to the channel banks. This flood is estimated to be a 5-year event with a maximum discharge of approximately 10,000 cfs.

2.4 Flood Protection Measures

Lower Santa Ana River has been improved by the Orange County Flood Control District, which has since been reorganized into the Orange County Environmental Management Agency. The improvement consists of a trapezoidal channel with concrete or riprap sides and an earthen invert, with drop structures and grade stabilizers at several locations.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers constructed Prado Dam upstream of the area under consideration in this study. The dam-channel combination is not adequate for the safe conveyance of the 100-year flood. The capacity of the channel is severely reduced by the constrictions caused by several bridges and by the lack of revetment on the upper portion of the levee for a major portion of the study reach.

On the Santa Ana River flood plain, the Orange County Environmental Management Agency has improved several major tributary watercourses. These channels were designed to have adequate capacity to convey local runoff, but were not designed to intercept and convey the 100-year overflow from Santa Ana River. It was determined that the combined capacity of these local channels will not materially reduce the flooding from the Santa Ana River overflow.

3.0 ENGINEERING METHODS

For the flooding sources studied in detail in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude which are expected to be equalled or exceeded once on the average during any 10-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for flood plain management and for flood

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

insurance premium rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10, 2, 1, and 0.2 percent chance, respectively, of being equalled or exceeded during any year. Although the recurrence interval represents the long term average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood which equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1 percent chance of annual occurrence) in any 50-year period is approximately 40 percent (4 in 10), and, for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported here reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

3.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak discharge-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each stream studied in detail in the community.

The 100-year discharges on Santa Ana River were based on hydrology studies previously developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the survey investigation of Santa Ana River (Reference 2). These discharges apply only to flow in the channel, and do not apply to overflow areas.

Peak discharge-drainage area relationships for the Santa Ana River channel are shown in Table 1.

3.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of streams in the community were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals along each stream studied in the community.

The hydraulic analyses were accomplished in four main parts: sediment transport analysis, channel capacity analysis, breakout analysis, and overflow analysis. The following is a brief discussion of the methods used in the analyses and the results obtained.

Sediment Transport Analysis

Loss of channel capacity because of sedimentation has been recognized as a major problem on the downstream end of lower Santa Ana River. Consequently, a sediment transport analysis was conducted to estimate the change in streambed profile associated with the 100-year flood. It was felt that enough sedimentation data were available from the 1969 flood to make the analysis defendable. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-6 Sediment Transport Computer Program was used to perform the computations (Reference 3). Because the channel is very inadequate, it was decided to use the HEC-6 program to obtain a starting condition fixed-bed mode for the determination of channel capacities.

Table 1. Summary of Discharges

Flooding Source and Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharges (Cubic Feet per Second) 10-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
Santa Ana River					
At Imperial Highway					
In Anaheim	2,306[1]	N/A	N/A	50,000	N/A
At Katella Avenue					
In Orange	2,346[1]	N/A	N/A	50,000	N/A
At Mouth	2,447[1]	N/A	N/A	12,000[2]	N/A

[1] 2,255 Square Miles Controlled by Prado Dam
[2] Reduction in Discharge Due to Santa Ana River Overflow

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Sediment samples were taken from the streambed at several locations to provide necessary input data to the program. Initial values of hydraulic and sediment transport parameters were computed and then calibrated using data from the 1969 flood, which constituted a 40-year event. Acceptable reproduction of the streambed profile observed after the flood was obtained for the majority of the study area. The calibrated parameters were then applied to the 100-year flood to determine the associated streambed profile.

The results of the analysis indicate that up to 4 feet of deposition in the channel will occur from Edinger Avenue to the Pacific Ocean. Deposition is also indicated between drop structures and in the vicinity of Imperial Highway. The analysis also predicts that scour of up to 2 feet will occur in the middle of the study area, from Garden Grove Boulevard to McFadden Avenue.

Channel Capacity Analysis

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-2 Water-Surface Profile Computer Program was used to perform the computations required to determine the capacity of the existing channel (Reference 4). The HEC-2 computer program was operated in a fixed-bed mode, using the 100-year sediment profile obtained from the HEC-6 computer program which was operated in a moveable-bed mode. The necessary data required to describe the existing channel configuration were taken from the Orange County Environmental Management Agency construction plans. Bridge data were taken from construction plans provided by the Orange County Road Department and the California Department of Transportation.

A freeboard value of 1.25 feet was adopted for use in determining channel capacity. This value is one-half the standard used by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for design of trapezoidal channels, and, if exceeded, it is considered to represent a 50-percent chance of levee failure by overtopping. The freeboard value was applied to either the top of the revetment on the channel sides or to the general ground elevation outside the levee, whichever was higher. For most of the study reach the upper portion of the channel levee is unprotected. Based on computations involving both permissible tractive force and maximum permissible velocity, it was assumed that the unprotected portion of the levee would erode when exposed to long-duration flows such as would occur during the 100-year flood.

Values of roughness coefficient (Manning's "n") for the channel bottom were determined by an analysis of the predicted bed form. The values thus obtained for the channel bottom were combined with estimates of the Manning's "n" values for the revetment on the channel sides to obtain a composite value for the entire section. For the short stretch of river still unimproved (from near 17th Street to the Garden Grove Freeway), the roughness coefficient

was estimated using Cowan's Method. The resulting Manning's "n" values ranged from 0.016 to 0.023 for the improved channel and was 0.0.0 for the natural channel. No refinement in Manning's "n" values was made at bridges because the friction loss is negligible compared to pier losses and contraction and expansion losses.

The channel capacity was determined at all significant changes in conveyance and at all bridges. The capacities were determined by using the HEC-2 computer program to compute a rating curve at each selected location based on a backwater analysis. Discharge values from 2,000 cfs to the peak 100-year discharge of 50,000 cfs were used.

The results of the analysis indicate that the channel capacity ranges between 15,000 and 20,000 cfs from the San Diego Freeway to Garden Grove Boulevard, between 30,000 and 40,000 cfs from the San Diego Freeway to the Pacific Ocean, between 40,000 and 50,000 cfs from Katella Avenue to the Santa Ana Freeway, and in excess of 50,000 cfs upstream of Katella Avenue.

Breakout Analysis

The location and magnitude of flow breaking out of the channel were determined using the results of the channel capacity analysis. It was assumed that the levee would fail at the water-surface elevation used to define the channel capacity and that the levee would erode sufficiently to allow all flow in excess of the capacity of the entrenched portion of the channel to break away from the river.

Locations of breakouts that will result in damage during the 100-year flood are listed in Table 2.

In all cases, the ground on the flood plain slopes away from the channel, so that none of the excess flow will return to the river.

Overflow Analysis

In general, a simplified overflow analysis was necessary because of the extremely large area involved and because of the complex flow patterns caused by several major obstructions and a very dense development with a large number of streets available for conveyance.

The general approach used in the analysis was as follows:

1. Using the results of the breakout analysis, principal flow paths and major obstructions were identified.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

BLANK PAGE

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Table 2. Santa Ana River Overflow

Location	100-Year Discharge (Cubic Feet per Second)	Direction of Flow
At Katella Avenue in Orange	5,000	Over West Bank
At Garden Grove Freeway (Upstream) in Garden Grove	19,000	Over West Bank
At Garden Grove Freeway (Downstream) in Garden Grove	1,000	Over Each Bank
At Santiago Creek in Santa Ana	4,000	Over East Bank
At Fairview Street in Santa Ana	3,000	Over West Bank
At Edinger Avenue in Santa Ana	3,000	Over Each Bank

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

2. Hydraulic controls presented by the major obstructions were determined and the resulting flood depths upstream were computed. For example, rating curves were computed for weir flow over freeway embankments and flow at critical depth through constrictions presented by freeway underpasses.

3. The average inundation depth on the flood plain between major controls was determined by assuming normal depth, using gross cross sections obtained from applicable U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps (Reference 5), and making allowance for obstructions presented by the dense development.

4. Allowance was made for some of the flood being intercepted by major local drainage channels and being lost due to peak attenuation (route-down) of the flood wave caused by the large amount of storage available on the affected flood plain. The Modified Puls method of flood routing was used to estimate the peak attenuation of the overflow.

5. The block walls surrounding many areas in the flood plain were not designed to resist forces caused by ponding and will collapse before significant depths of flooding occur.

In computing the average flood depth between major obstructions, a base Manning's "n" value for flow in streets and between buildings was estimated to be 0.1. To adjust for the obstruction provided by buildings, the following percentage reductions in effective flow width were estimated from aerial photographs of the subject area: for flow parallel to the general street direction, 50 percent; for flow perpendicular to the streets, 80 percent. The resulting adjusted Manning's "n" values were determined to be 0.2 and 0.5, respectively.

The results of the analysis indicated that the 100-year flood will inundate a large area from Katella Avenue in Orange to the Pacific Ocean. The average depth of inundation on the flood plain will be 3 feet, but localized depths in ponded areas or areas immediately upstream of major obstructions will range from 5 to 9 feet.

Flood profiles were drawn for the channel showing computed water-surface elevations to an accuracy of 0.5 foot for floods of the selected recurrence intervals (Exhibit 1). Profiles for the flow in the channel are provided only for completeness of the study.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

It should be emphasized that because the overflow is independent of the channel flow, the profiles should not be used to determine base flood elevations; instead, the Flood Insurance Rate Map (Exhibit 2) should be used for that purpose.

Due to the nature of the overbank flooding, flood profiles for the overbank areas are inapplicable and are not shown for this study.

All elevations are referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD). Elevation reference marks used in the study are shown on the maps.

4.0 FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

A prime purpose of the National Flood Insurance Program is to encourage State and local governments to adopt sound flood plain management programs. Each Flood Insurance Study, therefore, includes a flood boundary map designed to assist communities in developing sound flood plain management measures.

4.1 Flood Boundaries

In order to provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 100-year flood has been adopted by the Federal Insurance Administration as the base flood for purposes of flood plain management measures. The 500-year flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood risk in the community. For the stream studied in detail, the boundary of the 100-year flood has been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section taken in the overbank area; between cross sections, the boundary was interpolated using topographic maps at a scale of 1:24,000, with a contour interval of 5 feet (Reference 5).

Flood boundaries are indicated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (Exhibit 2). On this map, the 100-year flood boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (Zone A0). Small areas within the flood boundaries may lie above the flood elevations and, therefore, not be subject to flooding; owing to limitations of the map scale, such areas are not shown.

4.2 Floodways

The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent flood plain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order that the 100-year flood be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

Because the 100-year flood on Santa Ana River leaves the channel and does not return, the overflow is considered to be independent of the channel flow. The concept of the floodway is not applicable in this case; therefore, no floodway is shown in this study.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

5.0 INSURANCE APPLICATION

In order to establish actuarial insurance rates, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has developed a process to transform the data from the engineering study into flood insurance criteria. This process includes the determination of reaches, Flood Hazard Factors, and flood insurance zone designations for each flooding source studied in detail affecting Fountain Valley.

5.1 Reach Determinations

Reaches are defined as lengths of watercourses having relatively the same flood hazard, based on the average weighted difference in water-surface elevations between the 10- and 100-year floods. This difference does not have a variation greater than that indicated in the following table for more than 20 percent of the reach:

Average Difference Between 10- and 100-Year Floods	Variation
Less than 2 feet	0.5 foot
2 to 7 feet	1.0 foot
7.1 to 12 feet	2.0 feet
More than 12 feet	3.0 feet

5.2 Flood Hazard Factors

The Flood Hazard Factor (FHF) is the Federal Emergency Management Agency device used to correlate flood information with insurance rate tables. Correlations between property damage from floods and their FHF are used to set actuarial insurance premium rate tables based on FHFs from 005 to 200.

The FHF for a reach is the average weighted difference between the 10- and 100-year flood water-surface elevations expressed to the nearest one-half foot, and shown as a three-digit code. For example, if the difference between water-surface elevations of the 10- and 100-year floods is 0.7 foot, the FHF is 005; if the difference is 1.4 feet, the FHF is 015; if the difference is 5.0 feet, the FHF is 050. When the difference between the 10- and 100-year water-surface elevations is greater than 10.0 feet, accuracy for the FHF is to the nearest foot.

Since the 100-year overbank flow along Santa Ana River is independent of the channel flow, the usual procedure of determining Flood Hazard Factors and reaches is not entirely applicable to the study area. Instead of using the 10-year flood elevation, the ground-surface elevation was used in conjunction with the 100-year elevation in determining the FHF and the reach.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

5.3 Flood Insurance Zones

The entire incorporated area of the City of Fountain Valley was divided into zones, each having a specific flood potential or hazard. Each zone was assigned one of the following flood insurance zone designations:

Zone A0:	Special Flood Hazard Areas inundated by types of 100-year shallow flooding where depths are between 1.0 and 3.0 feet; depths are shown, but no Flood Hazard Factors are determined.
Zone B:	Areas between the Special Flood Hazard Areas and the limits of the 500-year flood, including areas of the 500-year flood plain that are protected from the 100-year flood by dike, levee, or other water control structure; also areas subject to certain types of 100-year shallow flooding where depths are less than 1.0 foot; and areas subject to 100-year flooding from sources with drainage areas less than 1 square mile. Zone B is not subdivided.
Zone C:	Areas of minimal flooding.

For this study, the majority of the flood plain will be inundated to depths of 1 to 3 feet and is, therefore, designated as an A0 Zone.

The flood insurance zones and base flood elevations for the Santa Ana River overflow areas are summarized in Table 3.

5.4 Flood Insurance Rate Map Description

The Flood Insurance Rate Map for Fountain Valley is, for insurance purposes, the principal result of the Flood Insurance Study. This map contains the official delineation of flood insurance zones and base flood elevation lines. Base flood elevation lines show the locations of the expected whole-foot water-surface elevations of the base (100-year) flood. This map is developed in accordance with the latest flood insurance map preparation guidelines published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

FLOODING SOURCE	PANEL[1]	ELEVATION DIFFERENCE[2] BETWEEN 1% (100-YEAR) FLOOD AND			FLOOD HAZARD FACTOR	ZONE	BASE FLOOD ELEVATION (FEET NGVD)[3]
		10% (10-YEAR)	2% (50-YEAR)	0.2% (500-YEAR)			
Santa Ana River Overflow							
Shallow Flooding	0001	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A0	Depth 2
Shallow Flooding	0001	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A0	Depth 3

[1]Flood Insurance Rate Map Panel [2]Weighted Average [3]Rounded to Nearest Foot

TABLE 3

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

FLOOD INSURANCE ZONE DATA

SANTA ANA RIVER OVERFLOW

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

6.0 OTHER STUDIES

Flood Insurance Studies for the Cities of Westminster (Reference 6), Garden Grove (Reference 7), and Huntington Beach (Reference 8) will agree exactly with the results of this study. Flood Insurance Studies for the City of Santa Ana (Reference 9) and the unincorporated areas of Orange County (Reference 10) were published in September 1979. The results of these studies do not include the detailed analysis of the Santa Ana River overflow presented in this study. Revisions to these previously published studies are in progress and the revised studies will be in agreement with this study.

A Flood Hazard Boundary Map for Fountain Valley was published on March 29, 1974, and revised on May 28, 1976, and October 1, 1976 (Reference 11). This represents a more thorough analysis than the previous study and therefore supersedes it.

This study is authoritative for the purposes of the National Flood Insurance Program; data presented herein either supersede or are compatible with all previous determinations.

7.0 LOCATION OF DATA

Survey, hydrologic, hydraulic, and other pertinent data used in this study can be obtained by contacting the Natural and Technological Hazards Division, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 211 Main Street, Room 220, San Francisco, California 94105.

8.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

1. City of Fountain Valley and Fountain Valley Chamber of Commerce, "An Area Inventory of the City of Fountain Valley," 1972

2. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Review Report on the Santa Ana River Main Stem, Including Santiago Creek and Oak Street Drain, app. 2, vol. 2, December 1975

3. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-6 Sediment-Transport Computer Program, Davis, California, November 1976

4. U.S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, HEC-2 Water-Surface Profiles, Generalized Computer Program, Davis, California, October 1973

5. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Maps, Scale 1:24,000, Contour Interval 5 feet: Anaheim, California (1965), Photorevised (1972); Los Alamitos, California (1964), Photorevised (1972); Newport Beach, California (1965), Photorevised (1972); Orange, California (1932 and 1964), Photorevised (1974); Seal Beach, California (1965), Photorevised (1973)

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

6. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Study, City of Westminster, California, August 1981

7. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Study, City of Garden Grove, California, unpublished

8. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Study, City of Huntington Beach, California, August 1981

9. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study, City of Santa Ana, California, September 1979

10. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study, Orange County, California (Unincorporated Areas), September 1979

11. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Hazard Boundary Map, City of Fountain Valley, California, Scale 1:9,600, March 29, 1974 (Revised May 28, 1976, and October 1, 1976)

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

01P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

02P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

03P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

04P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

05P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

06P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

07P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

08P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

09P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

10P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

11P

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



FLOOD PROFILES

SANTA ANA RIVER

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CITY OF COSTA MESA, CA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, CA
CITY OF GARDEN GROVE, CA
CITY OF FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA
CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
(ORANGE CO.)

12P